Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 1 of 87

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re: : Docket #23cr118/ UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 23m2007 Plaintiff, : - against -: WANG, YANPING, : April 4, 2023 New York, New York Defendant. : -----: BAIL HEARING PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT W. LEHRBURGER, UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE **APPEARANCES:** For Plaintiff: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: JULIANA MURRAY, ESQ. RYAN FINKEL, ESQ. One Saint Andrew's Plaza New York, New York 10007 For Defendant: LIPMAN LAW PLLC BY: ALEX LIPMAN, ESQ. 45 West 29th Street, Suite 303 New York, New York 10001 CHAUDHRY LAW PLLC BY: PRIYA CHAUDHRY, ESO. 147 West 25th Street New York, New York 10001 INTERPRETER PRESENT Transcription Service: Carole Ludwig, Transcription Services 155 East Fourth Street, #3C New York, New York 10009 Phone: (212) 420-0771 Email: Transcription420@aol.com Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; Transcript produced by transcription service.

				2
	INDEX			
	EXAMINATION	<u>s</u>		
Witness	Re- Direct Cross Direct	Re- Cross	<u>Co</u>	urt
None				
	EXHIBITS			
Exhibit Number	Description	ID	In	Voir Dire
None				

1

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 3 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 3
2	THE CLERK: We're here in the matter for a bail
3	hearing, U.S. v. Yanping Wang, 23cr118. Attorneys,
4	please state your name for the record starting with the
5	Government.
6	MS. JULIANA MURRAY: Good afternoon, Your
7	Honor, Juliana Murray and Ryan Finkel on behalf of the
8	United States. We're joined by Paralegal Specialist
9	Jeffrey Merns (phonetic).
10	MR. RYAN FINKEL: Good afternoon.
11	MR. ALEX LIPMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor,
12	Alex Lipman, Lipman Law PLLC, and with me is my co-
13	counsel Priya Chaudhry, Chaudhry Law PLLC. We're here
14	for the defendant Yanping Wang. And she's here present
15	and she's being assisted by a Mandarin interpreter.
16	THE COURT: All right, thank you. Good
17	afternoon. Ms. Wang, can you hear and understand
18	everything the interpreter is saying?
19	MS. YANPING WANG: Yes, I do.
20	THE COURT: All right, terrific. So when we
21	law saw each other, you were going to see Judge Torres
22	in regard to Mr. Kwok and also seek possibly her say so
23	on this matter. I understand she has left it in my
24	hands. So I guess I will hear from the parties as to
25	where we are and what can be done, should be done in

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 4 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 4
2	respect to the financial suretors that the Government
3	says are not sufficient to meet the obligation under the
4	conditions set by Judge Parker that two financially
5	responsible people be able to sign on to the bond.
6	And this is really defendant's application in
7	that they raised this concern, and so I'll hear from
8	defense counsel first. But why don't you also let me
9	know if there's been any developments during the last
10	week that make any difference and/or whether anything
11	that happened before Judge Torres influences what
12	happens here.
13	MS. MURRAY: Just briefly, Your Honor, I just
14	wanted to confirm that this is being recorded, this
15	proceeding, because I don't see a court reporter. So
16	just for the record.
17	THE COURT: It is being recorded
18	electronically.
19	MS. MURRAY: Thank you.
20	MR. LIPMAN: May I begin, Your Honor?
21	THE COURT: Yes, please.
22	MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, in our view, from the
23	beginning, the Government never actually established by
24	preponderance of the evidence that the defendant is a
25	flight risk. And I want to go - we actually agreed to

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 5 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 5
2	the bond conditions, but we agreed to the bond
3	conditions based on conversation that we had with the
4	Government that in which the Government made certain
5	representations about what was found in Ms. Wang's
6	apartment. And so we were told that, we didn't have a
7	lot of time to discuss things with our client, but we
8	thought, all right, it seems reasonable, and then we
9	agreed that we were going to propose names of two co-
10	signers for the bond and, frankly, didn't think that
11	this was going to be an issue.

12 Then the Government made certain statements on 13 the record, and as we started having trouble having them 14 approve the people we proposed, we at some point asked 15 them for support for some of the things that they said 16 were the reasons that our client is a flight risk. And 17 so then they eventually provided it to us, and what we 18 found is basically one of three things. The Government 19 either made statements that are half true, and so we 20 need to actually fill in the blanks and realize that 21 what they said isn't really right. They have made 22 statements that are contradicted by the evidence that 23 they gathered in Ms. Wang's apartment, and then they 24 made statements for which they're just conjectures. 25 They're not actually supported by any evidence. So let

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 6 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 6
2	me back up and start at the beginning.
3	THE COURT: Okay.
4	MR. LIPMAN: So Ms. Wang and her co-defendant,
5	Mr. Kwok, knew that the Government was looking at them
6	for a very long time, so much so that in September, and
7	according to the indictment, in September and October of
8	last year the Government seized a bunch of assets,
9	according to the indictment it's something like on the
10	order of \$700 million, and the Government seized those
11	assets. It was a civil seizure, but it referenced, as
12	specified (indiscernible). Right? So \$700 million
13	seized, I'm not sure that I can say for the Court that
14	my client understood the full scale of what was seized,
15	but she certainly understood that the Justice Department
16	has seized a bunch of money, right.
17	And then there was an SEC settlement for the
18	GTV case which is the one that's relevant to her, and
19	GTV paid back something in the order of I want to say
20	\$500 million, which incidentally they didn't pay after
21	the - they first paid the money and then the SEC issued
22	a settlement order. So it's in the reverse order from
23	the Government says happened. Okay? So she knew, she
24	knew that the Government was looking at her and that she
25	was potentially in severe legal jeopardy.

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 7 of 87

1

Despite that, she didn't go anywhere, but there's more. The Government says she had the passport from, a Chinese passport that she could've traveled on, right, and that she, and she's an asylum applicant, and because she's an asylum applicant, they say this is one of the factors to consider in her not having ties to the United States is somehow she count against her.

9 Well, because she's an asylum applicant and she 10 doesn't want to lose her asylum application, she did 11 want to go travel, and she applied to the United States 12 government for a furlough so that if she traveled, her 13 asylum application would not get denied. So the 14 Government, and that happened, she received permission 15 to travel between December and January of last year, so 16 December '22 to January I want to say 27, I'm probably 17 wrong on the exact date, but something from mid-December 18 to the third week of January --

19 THE COURT: And when was the seizure of the 20 money that you referred to?

21 MR. LIPMAN: September and October according to 22 the indictment. I think it's September 18 and October, 23 was it is, 24, 26.

24 So she put the government on notice that she 25 was going to go travel despite all of this going on.

7

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 8 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 8	
2	She did not travel during that window. It expired. It	
3	expired for reasons that have nothing to do with	
4	anything other than she had a particular trip that she	
5	had in mind to make, she couldn't get, it didn't work	
6	out logistically. She then applied for another	
7	application, and I believe that was, according to her	
8	immigration counsel, that was on February 8, 2023. So	a
9	month before she was arrested.	

10 So the idea that she is a flight risk is, given 11 all of that, is a little far-fetched, but there is a 12 reason for it, and the reason is this. Ms. Wang is in 13 different times would be called a revolutionary. She 14 has put herself, her family, everything she's done at 15 risk because she is opposing the communist party of 16 China, and whatever it is that they say in the 17 indictment, there is no dispute, none whatsoever, that 18 she has put herself in jeopardy. Her son is in China, 19 her husband, the man, the one and only boyfriend she's ever had, they're not allowed to have any communication 20 21 with her --

THE COURT: Right, but as I understand the Government, I don't know if they've pivoted or whether they always asserted this, but their concern is with fleeing to other jurisdictions, be it the United Arab

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT	Document 57	Filed 04/26/23	Page 9 of 87

PROCEEDING 9 Emirates where supposedly Mr. Je, a co-conspirator, is or Vanuatu where she has an expired passport application or wherever.

5 MR. LIPMAN: So, Your Honor, let me take Vanuatu first because that's easiest. Okay? 6 Together 7 with the passport that's expired, they also found two documents both for her and Mr. Kwok in her apartment 8 9 saying that she's renouncing Vanuatu citizenship. So 10 that's not an issue. The other thing is she got the 11 passport for Vanuatu I believe in 2016, if I have that 12 correctly, that was before she came to the United 13 States. China and Vanuatu have since become good 14 friends, and it's a different situation now, and I don't 15 think it would be safe for her to go there.

16 As far as going to United Arab Emirates, the 17 United Arab Emirates does not, from what we heard this 18 morning from the Government in Mr. Kwok's hearing, 19 United Arab Emirates does not extradite its citizens to 20 the United States. It does have an extradition treaty 21 with China. She's not a citizen of United Arab 22 Emirates, nobody's suggesting that she is. She's not, 23 she doesn't have a passport from there. The only 24 passport that she had that was still live, they have 25 possession of that passport. They found it in her

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT	Document 57	Filed 04/26/23	Page 10 of 87
	Dooument		1 uge ±0 01 01

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-A1 Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 10 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 10
2	apartment. So no, she cannot go somewhere else.
3	Now let's talk about whether she
4	THE COURT: Wait, wait, Wait, I want to stop
5	you there, just on the issue of the extradition with
6	United, with the UAE. What I heard you say was that
7	they don't have an extradition agreement with respect to
8	citizens of the UAE, and then I thought I heard you say
9	that they have an extradition treaty with China which,
10	of course, she's not going to go back to, and this isn't
11	a proceeding in China. What is their status with
12	respect to extradition of a citizen of a third-party
13	country, if you will, and extraditing to the United
14	States? I'm sure the Government can tell me but I'm
15	wondering if you have an understanding.
16	MR. LIPMAN: As far as I know, there is no
17	extradition treaty with the United States, but it's an
18	irrelevant issue rather because, first of all, she can't
19	get there. Okay? And, second, she's not concerned
20	about being extradited to the United States; she's
21	concerned about being extradited to China
22	THE COURT: I understand.
23	MR. LIPMAN: where she's going to get
24	arrested and shot. I mean there's a difference. You
25	know, as bad as the MDC is, it's not exactly a Chinese

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 11 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 11
2	prison.
3	THE COURT: But is your point if she shows up
4	at the UAE, she's going to get exported or extradited to
5	China automatically?
6	MR. LIPMAN: Well, I don't know about
7	automatically, but she certainly is in great danger of
8	that happening. That's absolutely true. And, look, in
9	the hearing that we had earlier this morning, the
10	Government actually, it was discussed, all the efforts
11	that the Chinese government has undertaken to get Mr.
12	Kwok back to China, including bribing American
13	officials, there's a case going on now, a criminal trial
14	I believe is going on right now in D.C. in which several
15	government officials who've been bribed by China in
16	order to facilitate Mr. Kwok's deportation from the
17	United States to China.
18	Well, the Government, this woman, according to
19	the Government, is Mr. Kwok's chief of staff, whatever
20	that means. Well, I don't think that they seriously
21	will dispute that she is in danger. So
22	THE COURT: I'm sorry, that she's what?
23	MR. LIPMAN: In danger.
24	THE COURT: In danger.
25	MR. LIPMAN: Meaning I don't think they

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 12 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 12
2	seriously dispute that if she went to China, got into
3	China or that China wants her.
4	THE COURT: Right.
5	MR. LIPMAN: There can't be a serious dispute
6	about that. So now let's talk about what would happen
7	if she were in the United States. Basic reason, basic
8	reason, she is Mr. Kwok's, according to the Government,
9	chief of staff. She's very recognizable. Right? She's
10	recognizable in the community of people who are here.
11	This is a community of thousands of people in the United
12	States. So the Government says, oh, they will hide her.
13	Well, first of all, that's, forgive me, but that's just
14	an improper inference. To think that thousands of
15	people who are on the U.S. soil will secret a fugitive,
16	is it because they're Chinese, is it because they speak
17	Mandarin
18	THE COURT: No, because they're, because they
19	potentially were victims of the fraud.
20	MR. LIPMAN: Except for this. If they are
21	victims of the fraud, they know what's going on, they're
22	adults, and so they could at any time become persuaded
23	that, in fact, she should be returned to the United
24	States government if she is a fugitive.
25	But there's more to this, and the more is this,

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 13 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 13
2	if the Chinese - we know from what I've read in the
3	paper, that the Chinese communist party has parking
4	Chinese communist officials from their police in their
5	United States consulate in New York. For a second can
6	we think that they're not keeping tabs on her? And that
7	if she showed up anywhere in any community where people
8	speak Mandarin as their primary language that she would
9	be spotted? For a second can anybody conceive that the
10	Chinese communist party wouldn't find her and identify
11	her and tell the government exactly where she is?
12	Now let's take the alternative. Let's assume
13	for a second that she decided to hide herself in, I
14	don't know, Utah among white people. She speaks English
15	with a heavy accent, and her first language in Mandarin.
16	Would she not stick out like a sore thumb? The idea
17	that this woman can hide is blatantly absurd. It's
18	absurd. And the idea that she could rely on people to
19	hide her in the United States. So where are we? She
20	can't leave and she can't hide. That's not flight risk.
21	But there's more. And the more is this. The
22	Government said, and this is why we actually thought we
23	were okay with the bail package that they proposed.
24	They said we found stuff in her apartment that tells you
25	that she's a flight risk. What is it? We found twelve

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 14 of 87

	Case 1.23-CF-00110-AT Document 37 Thed 04/20/23 Page 14 01 07
1	PROCEEDING 14
2	phones. Of these twelve phones, six of them were
3	secreted in boxes that were, that looked like brand new
4	boxes of iPhones and these were used - this is a
5	representation from the Government of the United States
6	to a court in the United States. So we said, all right,
7	let's look at the pictures. Send us the pictures.
8	Well. May I approach, Your Honor?
9	THE COURT: You may.
10	(pause in proceeding)
11	MR. LIPMAN: This is the evidence log, Your
12	Honor, that was of collected items from her apartment.
13	This is what we got from the Government. Okay?
14	THE COURT: Uh huh.
15	MR. LIPMAN: I'm going to assume that
16	everything on here is true and correct because it came
17	from the Government. If it's not, they should tell Your
18	Honor. Here's a list of phones and where they were
19	found. On the first page. Numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7.
20	These are all iPhones, and they were all found on the
21	kitchen table. Now, Your Honor, if the Court would like
22	to see, I have pictures of them.
23	They were found on the counter in the kitchen,
24	three of them. They were plugged in in plain view.
25	There was a phone that was on the side of the table,

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 15 of 87 1 PROCEEDING 15 2 there was another phone someplace on the side. There's a description here. On nightstand, right of bed. 3 On 4 changing --5 THE COURT: Right, well, those - all right, and they didn't say all of them were --6 7 MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor --THE COURT: -- secreted --8 9 (interposing) 10 MR. LIPMAN: -- trust me, trust me --11 THE COURT: Let's just --12 MR. LIPMAN: I'm not bypassing --13 THE COURT: I didn't think you were, but we 14 don't need to go over the ones that are sort of obvious. 15 MR. LIPMAN: Well, Your Honor --16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MR. LIPMAN: I wouldn't be talking to you if, 18 right? 19 THE COURT: No. 20 MR. LIPMAN: Okay. So here we go. On page 6 21 of 9 --22 (pause in proceeding) 23 THE COURT: Okay? 24 MR. LIPMAN: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your 25 Honor. I apologize.

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 16 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 16
2	THE COURT: Sure.
3	MR. LIPMAN: On page 7 of 9.
4	THE COURT: Okay.
5	MR. LIPMAN: Do you see where it says 56
6	THE COURT: Yes.
7	MR. LIPMAN: white phone, 57, white phone
8	THE COURT: Yes.
9	MR. LIPMAN: 58, white phone
10	THE COURT: In bag in closet.
11	MR. LIPMAN: In bag in closet. Not in a box
12	pretending like it's new. It's in a bag in closet. I
13	have a picture of the closet. I'm happy to show the
14	Court the bag that it was in. There is, in fact, in
15	that picture one white box for an iPhone in that
16	picture. One box. And according to this none of these
17	phones came out of that bag, that box. But even if one
18	did, that's one.
19	Now, also on this page you see, Your Honor,
20	where it says Mac book number 55 in between clothes?
21	THE COURT: Uh huh.
22	MR. LIPMAN: Okay, so one of the things that
23	they said is, oh, look, she's hiding stuff in between,
24	in her closet. She's secreted a laptop in between her
25	clothes. So a couple of things about that. Number one,

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 17 of 87

	Case 1.23-ci-00110-AT Document 37 Flied 04/20/23 Fage 17 01 87
1	PROCEEDING 17
2	as the Government well knows, Ms. Wang is not unfamiliar
3	with what happens when the FBI raids somebody. They
4	raided Mr. Kwok previously. She knows what happens when
5	that happens. Okay? So the idea that she could think
6	that she could hide a laptop in between her sweaters is
7	absurd.
8	But there's more now, Your Honor. Here is the
9	- if I may - which is this?
10	ATTORNEY: 46.
11	MR. LIPMAN: If I may approach, Your Honor.
12	THE COURT: You may, and just, I want to
13	confirm something. Are we looking at evidence and
14	material that was not available before the hearing
15	before Judge Parker?
16	MR. LIPMAN: This was not available to us
17	before - we got this - so here's what happened. We
18	asked them some of these questions about the phone,
19	right, we asked those questions I think it was on the
20	29 <sup>th</sup> . Do you have our letter? But essentially, Your
21	Honor, we got these the night before we saw you.
22	THE COURT: Okay, so that was well after Judge
23	Parker's ruling.
24	MR. LIPMAN: If I may approach, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Yes.

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 18 of 87

1

23

18

2	MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, this is the FBI
3	schematic of the apartment that Ms. Wang lives in. By
4	the way, it's 740 square feet. This is a woman who
5	apparently defrauded people up to, for something like a
6	billion dollars. Anyway, so on this page, Your Honor, I
7	call the Court's attention on what is in the apartment
8	and what is not. There is a bed in the bedroom, and
9	there's a side table. There is a couch in the
10	livingroom, and there's something in front of the couch,
11	it's actually a (indiscernible). There is nothing else
12	in this apartment. There's no wardrobe, there's no
13	chest of drawers, there's no desk, there's nothing. So
14	where does she keep her stuff? In the closets. All of
15	her stuff is in the closets. Her old phones were in the
16	closet. Right? There's nothing nefarious about putting
17	stuff in the closet when you don't have any furniture.
18	So then, so then they say, okay, we found money
19	in her apartment. We found money, we found \$138,000.
20	Ms. Murray said in recent bills, she thought they were
21	recent bills. Okay. So then we thought, all right, can
22	we see the pictures of the money? Why did we ask for

24 that a bunch of that money was in red envelopes which 25 apparently in Chinese culture it is common on holidays

pictures of the money? Because we had reason to think

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 19 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 19
2	like Chinese New Year to give people gifts of money, and
3	they found red envelopes. And so I wanted to see where
4	the money is, what it looks like, and how old it is.
5	Right?
6	So asked for the pictures. That's actually,
7	truth be told, that's the thing that kind of prompted
8	this conversation to begin with. (indiscernible) the
9	money. Okay?
10	So what did we find? (pause) May I approach,
11	Your Honor?
12	THE COURT: Yes, you may.
13	(pause in proceeding)
14	MR. LIPMAN: This, Your Honor, is the pouch in
15	which the money was found. Now, the Government says in
16	a letter to you, Your Honor, in their latest letter,
17	they said conveniently in a bag for easy retrieval.
18	Really? Okay, let's look at it. It's a bank bag. This
19	is what money comes from when you get money from the
20	bank. What else do we see here? We see that there are
21	a bunch of this is in red envelopes. Now there's other
22	cash in here, and, in fact, there's another picture.
23	THE COURT: Look, you don't need to go in this
24	much detail on the cash. And, you know, I agree with
25	you, I don't find the fact that it's in a bag

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 20 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 20
2	particularly persuasive that means someone's necessarily
3	going to run because it's in a bag. It's organized.
4	But one thing maybe you can tell me is, and I realize
5	this is shifting time a bit, but I thought that in their
6	filing that, their last filing that prompted putting
7	this over, that they had indicated and represented that
8	Ms. Wang did not disclose this \$138,000 to Pretrial, but
9	I was under the impression this had already been seized
10	a couple of weeks before.
11	MR. LIPMAN: No, it was seized on the day of
12	her arrest, okay, and the question that she was asked,
13	the relevant question was did you have any money cash on
14	you when you were arrested. She was arrested at 6:15
15	a.m., she was in her pajamas. The truthful answer to
16	that question is no. We checked out notes, we don't see
17	any other questions that would have elicited a different
18	answer. So did she disclose it voluntarily? No. I
19	don't know that she was asked about it.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. LIPMAN: Okay?
22	THE COURT: I get it.
23	MR. LIPMAN: But, Your Honor, even if she had
24	been asked about it, there were a dozen FBI agents in
25	her apartment ripping it up. Okay, I mean she was, she

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 21 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 21
2	was beyond stressed. She's sitting and talking, in a
3	situation that she's never encountered, she's being
4	asked these questions. Is it crazy that, you know, the
5	question is have you, do you have any cash on you, and
6	she says - did you have any cash on you when you
7	arrested and the answer is no and she doesn't say
8	anything else? I mean really?
9	All right. Now, by the way, before - because
10	they're going to bring up another picture for you, Your
11	Honor, and I don't want to be accused of giving you
12	something less than the full picture. And the full
13	picture is that when they made another picture of the
14	money - I apologize, Your Honor. I've gotten so
15	excited, I lost the other picture of the money. Here it
16	is. May I approach?
17	THE COURT: Yes.
18	(pause in proceeding)
19	MR. LIPMAN: This is the picture that makes it
20	look as if more of this money is more recent because you
21	can see there are some old bills, some new bills.
22	However, with that said, as I told the Government,
23	there's a good explanation for why some of that money is
24	recent. Okay? And the explanation, and I told the
25	Government this, is that she had some pounds that she

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 22 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 22
2	brought over from, with her herself at some point in her
3	previous travels, and that those pounds, you know,
4	however they got to her, but those pounds needed to be
5	replaced because apparently when the Queen died, they're
6	exchanging their money for money that looks, that has a
7	picture of the King. Okay, so over time she had that
8	replaced, so there's got to be something like \$30,000,
9	\$40,000 in there that's recent that has to do with that.
10	I asked to see the bills yesterday when it was
11	too late for me to go do it, they said you can come see
12	it. I'll see them at some point. But my point though,
13	Your Honor, the idea that this is money secreted so that
14	she can get out of Dodge, no, no, that doesn't make any
15	sense.
16	THE COURT: No, but it is suggestive that she
17	has access to significant funds even if that particular
18	one wasn't what she was intending to use.
19	MR. LIPMAN: Let's address that. Okay? The
20	Government says she didn't disclose all of the bank
21	accounts over which she had control. I had a specific
22	conversation with the Government in which I said if
23	you're asking about accounts for which she can actually
24	transact, meaning no third people, right, in other
25	words, but my bank account, I can go and do stuff. My

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 23 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 23
2	firm's bank account, not necessarily. Well, in my case
3	yes, but, you know, if you work for a firm, you may be
4	able to direct somebody to do whatever, that's firm
5	business, but you can't take it and put it in your
6	pocket. Okay? So what I said to the Government is we
7	are aware of two accounts, right, that are hers. We're
8	aware of another business account where she could have,
9	she could transact. We gave them the account and the
10	number. Right? We're not aware of any other accounts.
11	That is not, we did not hide from the Government that
12	she owns this BBI entity. That's not - the question was
13	
14	THE COURT: I understand, that's, of the list
15	of four things, three of them were business entities,
16	two of them weren't even hers directly. What about the
17	Himalayan cryptocurrency?
18	MR. LIPMAN: Good question. So I've been
19	trying to figure out what happened with the Himalayan
20	thing, and there are two things about that. Number one,
21	the document that they're referring to, remember how I
22	said there are some things where there's evidence, there
23	are some things that are half-truths, there are some
24	things that are contradicted, and then there are some
25	things were it's just a leap? Right?

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 24 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 24
2	So what are they looking at? They're looking
3	at a schedule that says allocation, okay, allocation.
4	They're not looking at an account at H Coin. They're
5	not looking - they're looking at an allocation. I've
6	been trying to figure out what happened to that
7	allocation. The best I can ascertain is that she has no
8	idea what happened. I'm not saying that something
9	didn't happen with it. I'm saying that she has no idea.
10	Okay?
11	THE COURT: But which is
12	(interposing)
13	MR. LIPMAN: But there's more
14	THE COURT: The current value of that, right,
15	at least the Government says is something like \$13
16	million. I'm sure it was less than, well, maybe who
17	knows given the market. But you would think that
18	someone - I'm going to assume it was a significant
19	amount of cyber currently at the time in that to her it
20	was significant, and you would think one would keep
21	track of that significant amount.
22	MR. LIPMAN: If one thought that it was theirs,
23	then one would. But, Your Honor, here's - so the
24	Government seized hundreds of millions of dollars,
25	including from the Himalayan exchange. The Government

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 25 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 25
2	is alleging that it's all a fraud. Out of one side of
3	their mouth they say it's worthless, and people can't
4	actually turn it into cash. The SEC said, in its
5	complaint the SEC says people tried to turn it into cash
6	but couldn't. Well, is it or isn't it? Because if it
7	is, then maybe it's worth \$13 million, though we don't
8	know how to access it. But if it isn't, if their
9	allegations are correct, then I don't know what the
10	mechanism is for turning this into cash. Okay?
11	So this is all to say that the presumption is
12	that she would be released or released pursuant to
13	conditions that are least restrictive to assure her
14	THE COURT: Right, but are we arguing anew? I
15	mean this comes back to the question, Judge Parker
16	implemented or ordered conditions. The crux of the
17	problem is that one of her conditions is not being
18	fulfilled because the Government has taken the position
19	that none of the persons offered to be financially
20	responsible are going to be sufficient suretors either
21	because they don't exercise moral suasion, because they
22	aren't financially responsible, or they are a victim or
23	a participant in the alleged fraud. And there's a
24	question of, okay, what happens if they keep on not
25	accepting these people. So I just want to be careful

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 26 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 26
2	about thinking of this as brand new when Judge Parker
3	has already set conditions.
4	MR. LIPMAN: So, Your Honor, if I may.
5	THE COURT: Yeah.
6	MR. LIPMAN: So, first of all, according to
7	3142, 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(iv)(3), "The judicial officer
8	may at any time amend the order to impose additional or
9	different conditions of release."
10	THE COURT: Yes. Understood.
11	MR. LIPMAN: So that's number one. Number two,
12	as I explained to the Court, we agreed to \$5 million -
13	I'm sorry, Your Honor, I used to be in, you know, for a
14	brief time at the U.S. Attorney's Office. When the
15	prosecutor says we found recent cash, we found stuff,
16	they told us they found stuff, documents hidden in her
17	cushions of her, the only piece of furniture she has.
18	So they said they found in the cushions of her loveseat
19	or whatever it is, okay. Well, somewhere here is my
20	other exhibit that I'm going to, sorry, Your Honor, I
21	get excited.
22	Anyway, somewhere here, I'll get it for the
23	Court, yeah, this is fantastic, thank you. This is
24	important. So, first, let me finish the first thing.
25	Okay? So the photographs, the log of the photographs

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 27 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 27
2	that were taken. I was looking to see if I can find a
3	photograph or a log of a document hidden in the
4	cushions. That doesn't exist. You know what else
5	doesn't exist? They said in their - this is a
6	representation to a court, they said we found a phone
7	hidden between mattresses in her bedroom. I want to see
8	this picture. I want to find it on the log of pictures
9	that are taken. Where is it? It doesn't exist. Or at
10	least it hasn't been given to us.
11	Now, there is a picture like that that was
12	taken at Mr. Kwok's search, and - thank you.
13	(pause in proceeding)
14	MR. LIPMAN: May I approach, Your Honor?
15	THE COURT: Yes.
16	MR. LIPMAN: So that's a picture of a phone
17	hidden between mattresses. But it's not from her
18	apartment. And I have yet to see the one from her
19	apartment.
20	One other thing, they said she has stuff in
21	her, in the pouch for easy retrieval, right, the money
22	was in the pouch for easy retrieval. Everything was in
23	a pouch for easy retrieval. You know what else was in
24	the pouch for easy retrieval? I think every credit card
25	she's ever had. I mean a bunch of old expired credit

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 28 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 28
2	cards, easy retrieval. It doesn't make sense.
3	Now let me, Your Honor, let me just now switch
4	over to the other piece of this which is the proposed
5	co-signers, the Government's refusal to approve any, and
6	what this is about. And I want to start with something
7	that I actually did not plan on doing because it only
8	happened in the courtroom this morning. You see these
9	people here, many of these people here are here to
10	support her.
11	She got emotional in the courtroom and started
12	crying because she realized that all these people are
13	here to support her, and let me explain what that means.
14	The Government probably doesn't know this, but surely it
15	is actually unlawful for the Government to disclose that
16	somebody's an asylum applicant. There's a regulation
17	that says that. I didn't know. I found out recently.
18	I'm sure they don't know. I'm sure they didn't do it
19	deliberately.
20	But the reason is obvious. Right? If you have
21	somebody coming from a country, you identify them as
22	somebody who's seeking asylum somewhere else, that
23	immediately puts them in danger. All of these people
24	simply by coming here, do you think there's no one here
25	from the Chinese communist party in this ream right new

25 from the Chinese communist party in this room right now

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 29 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 29
2	monitoring this? All these people simply by coming up
3	and standing up for her have exposed themselves, their
4	families
5	THE COURT: I don't think anyone questions
6	perhaps their intent. The question does the
7	MR. LIPMAN: Does she care about them?
8	THE COURT: does the defendant care enough
9	about these people that she's going to be concerned
10	enough about whatever monetary means they're putting on
11	the line versus taking flight, and one would paint the
12	picture, if you're the Government, saying she's alleged
13	to have committed fraud, you've got strong evidence. So
14	why would she care about the people she defrauded?
15	MR. LIPMAN: Fair amount, Your Honor, I was
16	about to address it.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MR. LIPMAN: There are different ways to think
19	about moral suasion. Right? I think we all agree that
20	a brother can sign for a brother, and the first brother
21	is not going to care. They're relatives, they're
22	brothers, but they're not going to care. It's also true
23	that people can connect in some way, they could be
24	strangers, but they connected, right, and so somebody
25	can have moral suasion over somebody else who actually

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 30 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 30
2	they don't have all that much interaction. They just
3	love each other. Right?
4	But there's another kind, and the other kind is
5	this, if you are a member of a certain kind of community
6	and you're - and this community is important to you,
7	it's important to you what happens to the members of
8	this community. Now, the Government's going to say, oh,
9	my God, a billion dollars, these people are victims.
10	Well, they're here, they don't think they're victims,
11	but that's another story. Okay?
12	But here's the thing, look at the indictment,
13	Your Honor. Mr. Kwok is alleged to have bought himself
14	a Lamborghini. I would love one. Okay? But does she
15	have one? No. He apparently is living in a mansion and
16	has other mansions and boats and this and that and the
17	other thing. Where in the indictment is there an
18	allegation that any of this money went to her? The
19	closest they've come is this allocation of the coin
20	allocation. Right? And we don't know what happened to
21	that. Okay.
22	So the question you have to ask yourself is why
23	is she doing this? Why does she leave her family, her
24	son, her one true love, right, and moved to a foreign
25	country where she is basically exposing herself as a

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 31 of 87

1 PROCEEDING 2 revolutionary, why did she do this? Okay. There's an answer, but that she's trying to enrich herself is not 3 the answer. So then the question is would she, given 4 5 what the Government has alleged about her, not about her co-defendants, but about her, because what happens to 6 7 the co-defendants is relevant but what matters really is what happens to her. 8

And also the question, given the allegations 9 10 that the Government has made, right, is she the kind of 11 person who will stick one of these people with a \$5 12 million debt? And the answer to that is obviously no, 13 she lives in a 740 square foot apartment without 14 furniture, away from her family with whom she cannot 15 have anymore contact. It's just beyond belief that we 16 have given them eight people, grownups, right, they 17 don't like all of them, that's fine. They say we didn't 18 get enough documents with respect to certain people. 19 Really? Somebody's willing to put up a \$3 billion 20 house, what other documents do you want? We couldn't 21 post that house unless we were able to prove to them 22 that that house existed and belongs to the person who's 23 posting it. Right? That person is an adult who 24 understands what's going on and thinks that she, that 25 that person has moral suasion over her and thinks that

31

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 32 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 32
2	she's not - there are three people, the co-signers who
3	are in this room today.
4	So where does the Government, forgive me, Your
5	Honor, but where does the Government get off making
6	those judgments for these people?
7	THE COURT: Well, that's part of what, I mean
8	they get to form that judgment, and if you don't agree
9	with it, that's why we're here, but they, the Government
10	needs to be assured or feel assured that the financial
11	security that's being posted is sufficient to reasonably
12	assure that the defendant will not flee, and there is a
13	valid concern I think in theory that if you have folks
14	that are allegedly victims of a fraud that's being
15	committed, that the fraudster or alleged fraudster may
16	not be so incented as one might normally be,
17	theoretically.
18	MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, one cannot paint
19	everything with a broad brush.
20	THE COURT: I agree.
21	MR. LIPMAN: One really needs to look at the
22	particular circumstances, and the particular
23	circumstances is that the Government is not alleging
24	that she stole money. It's just that simple. They're
25	alleging that the other two stole money. They're not -

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 33 of 87 1 PROCEEDING 33 2 meaning for herself. They're going to get up and say, well, you know --3 THE COURT: Yeah, she was allegedly 4 5 instrumental and in the middle of it. (interposing) 6 7 MR. LIPMAN: -- this and that. You know, and, 8 by the way, forget the presumption of innocence like 9 whatever. Anyway, the point is that they're not 10 alleging she enriched herself at the expense of these 11 people. So then the question is what is the reasonable 12 conclusion, I mean a reasonable basis for concluding 13 that she will do so with respect to this bond. 14 But, Your Honor, but I tell you this, everyone 15 she knows falls into one of two categories. They're 16 either friends (indiscernible) or a family, okay, or 17 they're members of this community. You know, it's -18 sometimes people say, well, how is this possible? She's 19 lived in the country for seven years and she doesn't have any friends. Well, she doesn't because she's a 20 21 revolutionary, Your Honor, because she has a mission in 22 life, and her mission is something different than making 23 friends. 24 So my point is this, these people can only come 25 from one of these two groups, okay, and if the

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 34 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 34
2	Government cannot approve cosigners who belong to one of
3	these two groups because as a category they
4	(indiscernible), then, Your Honor, you have the
5	authority to change this, and, in fact, as the Court is
6	well aware, one of the provisions in here is that you
7	cannot have a financial condition that makes it
8	THE COURT: "The judicial officer may not
9	impose a financial condition that results in the
10	pretrial detention of the person." 18 U.S.C.
11	3142(c)(2). And yet the Government seems to have found
12	cases that say that in the context of the statute, that
13	does not trump but rather what trumps is whether the
14	conditions will reasonably assure the presence of the
15	defendant at future proceedings. And even a case you
16	rely on, <u>U.S. v. Panaronda</u> , says that too, and they said
17	the ultimate question is the Court should consider
18	whether that particular financial condition is a
19	necessary part of the bail conditions to provide
20	reasonable assurance of the defendant's appearance. I
21	mean that's really what we have to decide.
22	MR. LIPMAN: And, Your Honor, that case, I'm
23	going to mispronounce names so I apologize
24	THE COURT: Panaronda.
25	MR. LIPMAN: Okay, what happened in that case

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 35 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 35
2	is Judge Sweet, we'll change the conditions. I mean and
3	he said, look, there's \$250,000 bail here, this person
4	is never going to either meet it or get anybody who is
5	good for \$250,000. I'm going to reduce it to something
6	that people can meet and still satisfy the conditions.
7	We proposed, just so that we're clear, in
8	addition to posting, you know, property to secure the
9	bond that would be more than enough, right, because, you
10	know, it says two. There are three people who together
11	have more property than \$5 million. They can post it
12	all. They're prepared to do it. But separately. She
13	has access, as far as I know, and the Government doesn't
14	actually know anything different, she personally only
15	has access at this point to two accounts that belong to
16	her and that she has value in her apartment, we already
17	posted that. So her apartment, one of her accounts
18	completely
19	THE COURT: With \$400,000.
20	MR. LIPMAN: Well, I'm not sure exactly.
21	THE COURT: Well, that was the one that you
22	offered up
23	MR. LIPMAN: Yeah, yeah. And then the second
24	one monitor it. I mean we're happy to have - in other
25	words, she

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 36 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 36
2	THE COURT: I get it.
3	MR. LIPMAN: would have no money
4	THE COURT: Right.
5	MR. LIPMAN: Now, the Government says, well,
6	you know, supporters, this and that, they can - that's
7	true in every case. Your Honor, I'm going to sit down
8	because I've been going on, you've indulged me and I
9	appreciate that. But, Your Honor, there's no reason why
10	this woman should spend another night in prison.
11	There's no reason. She's not a flight risk. She has
12	already put up her apartment. We're happy to have the
13	three co-signers that are here are happy to go down and
14	sign the bond today. We can post the - in fact, I will
15	take personal responsibility for the two accounts.
16	Thank you. My much wiser co-counsel reminded me that
17	what these people have on the hook is not \$5 million.
18	It's their lives and their families' lives because of
19	what they're proposing to do for Ms. Wang.
20	If that is not an indication that they think
21	they have moral suasion
22	THE COURT: That's not, it's not, I don't
23	question their thought process on it. And I just want
24	to confirm something. In terms of what you did propose
25	in terms of possibly modifying the conditions is you

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 37 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 37
2	wanted the Court to approve two of the eight that you
3	had offered. You have three here now. You were going
4	to, in addition to the security for the apartment, you
5	were going to put up the \$400,000 account and the
6	\$130,000 cash that was seized. You were going to put
7	additional security through others that you now say I
8	think that you could get to an amount in total of \$5
9	million. Do I have that right?
10	MR. LIPMAN: Yeah, we could. I mean we have
11	three people willing to post their property, and one of
12	those houses is I think \$3 million, one is 1.7 if I
13	remember correctly, but yes.
14	THE COURT: And then you proposed also that the
15	Government monitor and approve any expenditures from the
16	\$500,000 account.
17	MR. LIPMAN: I'd rather Pretrial did it and not
18	the U.S. Attorney's Office, but yes.
19	THE COURT: Yeah, again, one of the driving
20	concerns here is - I'm just looking for where this was
21	said, that, and this is from <u>U.S. v. Melville</u> I think.
22	"Bail is not for the purpose of providing funds to the
23	Government to seek the defendant should he go
24	underground or flee the jurisdiction. Bail is intended
25	as a catalyst to aid the appearance of the defendant

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 38 of 87 1 PROCEEDING 38 2 when warranted." So, again, I just want to emphasize that we're talking about what is the defendant going to 3 be motivated to do. 4 5 MR. LIPMAN: I appreciate that, Your Honor, but, Your Honor, and I don't want to annoy you --6 7 THE COURT: No, no, you're not annoying me. MR. LIPMAN: -- with I've already said, but the 8 9 fact of the matter is that --10 THE COURT: And I'm not saying I think 11 necessarily that she won't be motivated. I just want to 12 make sure we're all on the same page about what's 13 important. 14 MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, you and I are on the 15 same page, absolutely, but, again, when a woman starts 16 getting emotional because people come here to support 17 her, when the Government does not allege that her 18 participation in the scheme, even if true, was for the 19 purpose of benefitting her, I mean, really, she did all 20 this so that somebody else can drive in a Ferrari? 21 Really? 22 Anyway, they're not alleging that she did this 23 for personal gain, and this is as good an indication as 24 there is that what she's not going to do is stiff 25 somebody for the 2 million.

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 39 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 39
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. LIPMAN: Okay. So I already said that she
4	is a revolutionary. She believes in the cause. If she
5	didn't believe in the cause, if she didn't believe in
6	these people - thank you.
7	THE COURT: The two are not mutually exclusive,
8	fraud and belief in a cause.
9	MR. LIPMAN: Well, Your Honor, that's true,
10	but, again, you have to look at the individual and what
11	is it that they did
12	THE COURT: Of course.
13	MR. LIPMAN: And so
14	THE COURT: I agree.
15	MR. LIPMAN: they call each other, so I've
16	talked to a bunch of people
17	THE COURT: I think I get enough.
18	MR. LIPMAN: You get it. And they call each
19	other - just before I sit down, they call each other
20	brother and sister, okay, and I've talked to a bunch of
21	them, and I mean all I can say is that they're willing
22	to risk everything, and she has not done anything to
23	indicate that she would do, she would jeopardize them
24	at, jeopardize them personally for her own, for her own
25	personal gain.

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 40 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 40
2	THE COURT: Understood. Thank you.
3	MR. LIPMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: All right, I will hear from the
5	Government.
6	MS. MURRAY: Thank you, Your Honor. Just one
7	brief point that Mr. Lipman just raised. With respect
8	to the defendant's personal gain, the Government would
9	note that the defendant's living in a \$1.1 million
10	apartment. The defendant has nearly a million dollars -
11	-
12	THE COURT: One might consider that poor in the
13	middle of New York, but, you know, nonetheless.
14	MS. MURRAY: Has nearly a million dollars in
15	cash and her bank accounts, the two that were disclosed,
16	and I'll get to that point. We have evidence that she
17	was allocated \$7 million approximately of what was a
18	cryptocurrency or a purported cryptocurrency at the time
19	of the initial coin offering at a lower valuation. So
20	that would be worth substantially more now. And she had
21	over approximately \$138,000 of cash in her safe.
22	But I would like to reset with respect today's
23	proceedings.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MS. MURRAY: At the very outset, Your Honor

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 41 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 41
2	asked about the status of the bail proceedings, and no,
3	there have no further discussions between the defense
4	and the Government regarding proposed suretors. The
5	Government has not received any documentation additional
6	to the documents that the defense submitted in
7	connection with their motion that support the various
8	purported financial situation of the suretors that they
9	proposed even though they were on notice from the
10	Government's submission that we believe the
11	documentation to be incomplete or inadequate to make an
12	accurate determination or assessment. The Government
13	has not successfully reached the eighth co-signer that
14	the defense had proposed and, therefore, has been unable
15	to interview that person. So that's where we are today.
16	Now, there are really three questions for the
17	Court today. First, with respect to the defendant's
18	motion, whether the Court should direct that the
19	defendant has satisfied the conditions of her bond, the
20	conditions that Judge Parker imposed when she was
21	initially presented on March 15, several hours after her
22	arrest. The answer is clearly no.
23	The second question is whether the Court should
24	modify the conditions of that bond that Judge Parker
25	imposed to remove the co-signers requirement, which is

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 42 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 42
2	one of the first modification requests the defense is
3	asking for, or potentially in connection with or an
4	alternative various different modifications, be it
5	posting additional property or cash in support of the
6	bond, adding co-signers, aggregating co-signers. Again,
7	with respect to modification, the answer is plainly no,
8	the Court should not do that.
9	And, finally, the third question, which was
10	raised in the Government's submission last Friday,
11	whether the defendant should be detained pending trial
12	because there are no conditions or set of conditions
13	that will reasonably assure her presence at future court
14	proceedings. And, Your Honor, the answer to that is
15	yes.
16	So I'll take each of those points in turn.
17	First, with respect to the proposed co-signers,
18	the defense submitted documentation and names and
19	information about those co-signers to the Court. That
20	is because they are not approved by the Government. So
21	under the statute the basis for the Court to approve
22	unapproved co-signers is to evaluate documentation,
23	information about those co-signers, and then determine
24	whether they have a net worth with sufficient
25	unencumbered value to pay the full amount of the bond,

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 43 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 43
2	here \$5 million. And I'm not going to go over each of
3	the individuals, Your Honor, because we laid this out in
4	great detail in our initial submission. We went through
5	each of the seven proposed co-signers that the defense
6	has presented to the Court here with documentation,
7	again, setting aside the eighth whom we were not able to
8	interview.

9 For each of those seven, based on the documents 10 that the defense is providing to Your Honor for your 11 consideration of whether those individuals meet the 12 standard of the statute, first of all, Your Honor, none 13 of them has appropriate moral suasion over the 14 defendant. And, again, we laid this out but I would 15 like to make that point a bit more finely because it's 16 extremely important where here the defense is saying 17 that these individuals exercise moral suasion.

And, Your Honor, is correct, it's not a question of whether the proposed co-signers believe that they have influence or moral suasion over the defendant. It's a question of how the defendant feels, and while we can't put ourself in our head or in her heart, what we can do is we can look at the evidence that's in front of us.

25

These seven proposed co-signers for Your

### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 44 of 87

1 PROCEEDING Honor's consideration, some of them have never met Ms. 2 Wang, never spoken with her. A handful of them have met 3 her at events, generally speaking. Most do not know 4 where she works. Most do not know where she lives. 5 They don't talk to her frequently. They don't appear to 6 7 have a personal relationship.

Interestingly, and I'll get to this point, one 8 9 of the individuals actually believes that Ms. Wang works 10 at Gettir, which is one of the alleged entities involved 11 in the fraud and a potential instrumentality. And 12 believes that because that individual met Ms. Wang in 13 connection with interviewing for a position at Gettir. 14 I'll talk about why that's relevant. Another individual 15 believes that she works at a company called HCHK 16 Properties. Again, one of these shell companies that's 17 used in the course of this billion dollar fraud.

18 And these proposed co-signers whom defense 19 argues exercise moral suasion, they don't know the 20 defendant well enough to even have personal relationship 21 with her, and, therefore, we have no comfort that Ms. 22 Wang would in any way be dissuaded by their signing a 23 bond from fleeing, from leaving them responsible for 24 paying the amount of the bond.

25

THE COURT: Well, even if they don't have what

44

### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 45 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 45
2	we think of as a traditional personal relationship or
3	family relationship or a deep friend relationship, why
4	can't they be bonded over a cause?
5	MS. MURRAY: They could be bonded over a cause,
6	Your Honor. In this particular situation, and this is
7	why the Government's argument about these individuals
8	being potential victims of the fraud or apparent victims
9	of the fraud is important, this fraud has been largely
10	perpetuated targeting that community. It is a fraud
11	that has focused on preying on and mobilizing people who
12	support Mr. Kwok's and Ms. Wang's and Mr. Je's movement
13	against the CCP. Those are the exact individuals who
14	have been identified and targeted to send hundreds of
15	millions dollars, over a billion dollars, of money to
16	line Mr. Kwok's pockets, Mr. Je's pockets, their
17	families, to reinvest in the companies that are the
18	instrumentalities of the fraud, companies that Ms. Wang
19	manages and works for, some on paper and some functional
20	control.
21	So there's no comfort that the Government can
22	derive from the argument that because an individual is a

24 supporters, that that will influence Ms. Wang to not 25 flee.

member of the allegedly community that Ms. Wang has

23

### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 46 of 87

	Case 1.23-CI-00110-AT DOCUMENT 37 Filed 04/20/23 Faye 40 01 07
1	PROCEEDING 46
2	And, Your Honor, I just note, moral suasion
3	factors vary, but some of the considerations include the
4	strength of ties between the defendant and the proposed
5	suretor. Again, here, with respect to all of the
6	proposed suretors in front of this Court which the
7	defendant provided to Your Honor, that factor doesn't
8	exist.
9	Also, the defendant's roots in the community,
10	we understand from defense counsel that Ms. Wang
11	essentially works and then works within this community,
12	but I would just note during the second attempt at this
13	bail hearing for Ms. Wang Judge Netburn did note that
14	Ms. Wang has lived in the country for seven years and is
15	representing that she knows no one, no one who could
16	potentially come forward as a co-signer who either isn't
17	a potential victim within this community or a potential
18	subject or co-conspirator of the fraud.
19	And then also the regularity of contact. And

And then also the regularity of contact. And here, again, we don't have regular contact between these proposed suretors and Ms. Wang.

Now, turning to the second factor in evaluating the proposed suretors that are before the Court is financial responsibility. And, again, here, I don't want to belabor the point because we have gone through

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 47 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 47
2	each of the proposed suretors, but these individuals do
3	not have sufficient assets of an unencumbered value to
4	support the full amount of the bond. That is the
5	statutory framework that we're working within at this
6	point where conditions have been imposed, where the
7	Government has unapproved suretors, and the defense has
8	now moved to bring them before the Court
9	THE COURT: Well, why I am hearing at least
10	from the defense that with an entire package and the
11	supposed three FRP's who are here, suretors, that they
12	do have \$5 million. Let me just verify something,
13	counsel for the defense, are you saying that that is
14	unencumbered, 5 million?
15	MR. LIPMAN: Yeah, we have three people who
16	have unencumbered - well
17	THE COURT: Net unencumbered.
18	MR. LIPMAN: Thank you. Yes, net I think adds
19	up to - let's put it this way, together with the million
20	dollars that she has definitely, I know that one is \$3
21	million. I'm sorry, I'm spacing on one of them, but I'm
22	pretty sure that those three cover \$5 million. But that
23	they do including the
24	THE COURT: Right, she's got the million, she's
25	also got the 400, she's got the 138. So we're good for

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 48 of 87 48 1 PROCEEDING 2 1.5 about. 3 MR. LIPMAN: Right. So a few responses to that, Your 4 MS. MURRAY: 5 Honor. THE COURT: 6 Yeah. 7 MS. MURRAY: First, this is the first kind of question that I had mentioned that's before the Court 8 which is simply whether the Court should direct that she 9 10 has satisfied the conditions of her bond, the conditions 11 that were imposed. And those are the conditions of two 12 co-signers. And what defense has brought before Your 13 Honor in this motion are seven or eight specific names 14 with specific documentation they are purporting 15 justifies the Court directing that two of those co-16 signers be approved. 17 Now, it's not clear which two the defense is 18 asking Your Honor to approve --19 THE COURT: No, but she has, look, there are 20 three here today that he's specifically proposing. I 21 don't know who they are at the moment, but I think he 22 has one specifically in mind is my point, and one might 23 also take, might be offering to say, well, geez, we want 24 to but, you know what, the Government should pick the 25 ones they think are best. Just saying there are ways to

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 49 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 49
2	deal with that. But I understand.
3	MS. MURRAY: Sure. Yeah, and I understand,
4	Your Honor. So then I guess I'll move to the second
5	question which is whether the Court should modify the
6	conditions of the defendant's bond, either to remove the
7	co-signer to alter in and adjust the bond so that
8	there's more cash or property securing the bond. As I
9	said, the answer is plainly no to that as well.
10	And I just want to make a few points about the
11	representations that counsel has made
12	THE COURT: Before you do, let's assume for the
13	moment there are no financially responsible people in
14	your view because they don't know her personally except
15	for having maybe met her a couple of times, they're not
16	family, and the only thing they have in common is this
17	cause. If I am to assess whether that particular
18	condition is necessary to reasonably assure the presence
19	of the defendant at future proceedings as opposed to
20	some other combination of provisions, putting aside, of
21	course, all the provisions that are already in place,
22	the home detention, electronic monitoring, etc., why
23	can't I then or why shouldn't I then consider other
24	things that are being offered insufficient to take that
25	place? Why does it have to be two financially

1	PROCEEDING 50
2	responsible people as opposed to, you know, another
3	combination of what's being offered?
4	MS. MURRAY: Your Honor can consider modifying
5	the conditions of the bond certainly if you determine
6	that there is a set of conditions that would reasonably
7	assure the defendant's appearance at future court
8	proceedings.
9	THE COURT: And to be clear, I'm not saying
10	what I have in mind is anything less than what Judge
11	Parker would think, and I'm not pretending to put myself
12	in her shoes. But I could imagine that given that the
13	Government and the defendant came to essentially an
14	agreement on most of the terms of a package, that Judge
15	Parker no doubt was assuming at the point that there
16	would be two financially responsible people. And if she
17	was presented with an argument that said, well, the
18	Government's willing to agree to this, but we don't have
19	anybody we're going to approve, she might take a
20	different tact. She might not, she might say, you know
21	what, it's the Government's prerogative, the Government
22	offered this package, they can't satisfy it, t's not
23	going to do it, then I'm going to detain.
24	So I'm not saying it should necessarily come
25	out differently, but I think it's a little too pat in

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 51 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 51
2	some respects - well, again, why that condition as
3	opposed to what else is being offered?
4	MS. MURRAY: Well, there are actually multiple
5	conditions, and, Your Honor, the reason is that when the
6	Government discussed a proposed bail package on consent
7	with defense, it was hours after the defendant was
8	arrested on March 15. The Government had not had the
9	opportunity to go through the evidence that was then
10	being collected from the defendant's apartment in
11	connection with the FBI's premises search. And,
12	frankly, the Government was not yet aware that the
13	defendant was going to lie to this Court, to Pretrial
14	Services
15	THE COURT: I don't understand what the lies
16	are. I have to say I didn't, you know, in your letter
17	you accuse the defendant of dissembling on this. The

18 only one that grabbed me as a possible dissembling would 19 be the cryptocurrency. But it's certainly plausible 20 that you could have a cryptocurrency that was allocated 21 in 2016 I think the date was and, you know, it may have 22 never materialized into anything. It certainly 23 suggests, you know, where did that go, can't someone 24 tell us, but right now she's saying she has no control 25 over access to it because she doesn't even know where it

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 52 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 52
2	is or what it is.
3	MS. MURRAY: So a few points there, Your Honor.
4	First, the defendant during her Pretrial Services
5	interview indicated she's been unemployed since
6	September of 2022. Now, documents that the Government
7	reviewed late last week that had been seized from her
8	apartment and additional evidence that the Government
9	has, and, as you know, we can proceed by proffer in
10	detention hearings
11	THE COURT: Yes.
12	MS. MURRAY: This is not a mini trial. But the
13	Government's evidence is that the defendant was, in
14	fact, continuing to work in connection with her named
15	position with family offices of Mr. Kwok's family money
16	and also with some of the other entities that I
17	mentioned that are instrumentalities of the fraud up
18	until effectively the date that she was arrested. We
19	have seen documents that lay out the financial position
20	of various of the different entities that are associated
21	with the fraud. Those include Gettir which is, as I
22	mentioned, one of the proposed suretors believes Ms.
23	Wang formally works for. They include HCHK Property
24	which another of the suretors believes Ms. Wang formally
25	works for, and the Government's evidence shows Ms. Wang,

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 53 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 53
2	in fact, is the 99.999 percent shareholder of HCHK
3	through her BBI entity.
4	They include G Clubs which is one of the arms
5	of the fraud that is outlined and alleged in the
6	Government's indictment. They include the Rule of Law
7	Society and the Rule of Law Foundation which are
8	charities, purported charities that Mr. Kwok and others
9	founded in 2018 that laid the groundwork and the basis
10	for collecting all these monies through the different
11	arms of the fraud.
12	And, Your Honor, these are printouts of
13	balances of accounts, accounts raised through present
14	which, as reflected in the documents, was variously
15	February 2023 or March 13 of 2023, two days before the
16	defendant was arrested.
17	THE COURT: But those are corporate funds,
18	right, but you're using it for the point about
19	employment.
20	MS. MURRAY: I'm using it for the point about
21	employment, Your Honor, and also effective control. Mr.
22	Lipman indicated he doesn't know what the Government
23	means when it uses the general phrase chief of staff.
24	What the Government is alleging by so characterizing Ms.
25	Wang is that she manages and controls these various

### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 54 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 54
2	entities. Now, like Mr. Kwok, she doesn't have her name
3	on each of the different companies that she is involved
4	with, but the Government has no question in light of the
5	evidence both found in Ms. Wang's apartment, the fact
6	that people associate Ms. Wang formally with these
7	companies because they interviewed with her for jobs at
8	some of these companies or they had contracts with her
9	in connection with their work with some of the
10	companies.
11	Ms. Wang runs the show with respect to these
12	instrumentalities. She has done so up until the day of
13	her arrest contrary to what she told Pretrial Services.
14	And the Government would allege that part of the reason
15	that she lied to Pretrial Services was to disclaim
16	association with the various different instrumentalities
17	of the fraud. To say that she took herself out of the
18	fraudulent entities, notably, Your Honor, right around
19	the time that the Government started to seize \$630
20	million in fraud proceeds.
21	So in the Government's view, at the time of the
22	initial presentment and bail argument, we were not aware
23	that we were going to find concrete evidence in the
24	defendant's apartment that, in our view, proves what the

25 Government already alleged and believed to be true from

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 55 of 87

1 PROCEEDING 2 its investigation which is Ms. Wang has continued working for these companies up until the time of her 3 arrest. So that is one point, Your Honor. 4 It's a 5 change in circumstances. The Government has a change in circumstances from where it was at the time of the 6 7 initial presentment.

Now, with respect to accounts, the allocation 8 9 of H coin or one of the purported cryptocurrencies that 10 is traded on the Himalaya exchange, again, another arm 11 of the fraud, the allocation document was found in Ms. 12 Wang's apartment with various other documents that seem 13 to support the fraud. Your Honor is correct, defense is 14 correct, there's no way for the Government to prove that 15 Ms. Wang holds that money, and, in fact, the 16 Government's allegation is that it's not cryptocurrency, 17 but we're not alleging it's valueless. We're alleging 18 that certain people have it and the people who are 19 quickest to redeem can basically have an exit scam and 20 get out with their money.

21 I would note while, again, we don't have access 22 to an account that Ms. Wang has where the money is held, 23 Your Honor correctly identified approximately \$7 million 24 worth of a cryptocurrency asset would be something you 25 would want to keep track of. The allocation indicates

55

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 56 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 56
2	Yanping Wang, and then it has the allocation, it's in
3	her name.
4	I would note that some of the other individuals
5	or entities who are allocated HCN in the document that
6	the Government has include Ms. Wang's co-conspirator,
7	William Je. It says Sue Ming Je and family, that's one
8	of his family members. It includes Mr. Kwok's son, it
9	includes friends of Mr. Kwok's son, all named by their
10	names. Ms. Wang is also named by her name. Allocated 7
11	million.
12	Now, I don't know if she forgot or she just
13	didn't think it was relevant to disclose to Pretrial
14	Services, but this is a newly discovered fact the
15	Government found in the course of reviewing evidence
16	that was taken from Ms. Wang's apartment that gives us
17	serious pause, and it's something that's different from
18	when the Government first agreed to the conditions of
19	the proposed bond with defense counsel.
20	Another point I would like to note, with
21	respect to the accounts to which the defendant has
22	access, I understand that the way that the condition is
23	worded it could be read narrowly or broadly. In the
24	Government's view it certainly imposes an obligation on
25	the defendant to be forthcoming. And the condition

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 57 of 87

1	PROCEEDING	57
2	included the requirement that the defendant disclos	е
3	assets or accounts that she controls in her name or	that
4	are in companies that she controls or is affiliated	with
5	and, broadly speaking, cryptocurrency and other rea	1
6	property.	

7 The Government has found evidence, again, dated as recently as a few days before the defendant's arrest 8 from her apartment, as I said, that show bank account 9 10 information, account information, Ms. Wang signing off 11 on payroll for some of the instrumentalities that she 12 doesn't control, but that the Government certainly 13 alleges that she manages and works for in her role as 14 Mr. Kwok's chief of staff. So to the Government that 15 indicates effective control over those finances.

Even setting that aside though, Your Honor, Mr. Lipman mentioned that there were credit cards and other items in the safe. The Government had indicated that there was cash in one of the pouches, another pouch with certain items that appeared to be and are ready to take at the ready.

22 THE COURT: You mentioned a safe. Was there a 23 safe? 24 MS. MURRAY: There was a safe. Yes. So the

25 bag with the cash and another bag that had credit cards

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 58 of 87

```	
1	PROCEEDING 58
2	and other items, including the passports, those were all
3	concealed in a safe in defendant's apartment.
4	The credit cards notable that were taken from
5	one of those pouches in the safe, looking at the front
6	cover of those credit cards which were photographed and
7	we provided to defense counsel last week, there are
8	numerous cards that indicate accounts that are not yet
9	expired in the defendant's name that the defendant did
10	not disclose to the Government or to Pretrial Services.
11	And at this point, we have no way of determining what
12	assets are in those accounts, how the defendant
13	continues to control those accounts, but it's, again,
14	another layer, Your Honor, where we cannot derive
15	comfort that the defendant is being truthful with
16	Pretrial Services, with the U.S. Attorney's Office, or
17	with the Court.
18	And at a very high level, to talk through those

And at a very high level, to talk through those accounts, there is a Citibank account for one of the Kwok family entities that the defendant controlled that was active through last month when she was arrested. So it was active at the time. There were two personal Bank of America debit cards, different account numbers, both in the defendant's name, in her name, personal accounts. One which expired last month but, again, active when she

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 59 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 59
2	was arrested. The next which expires next year. There
3	is a Citibank personal account in the defendant's own
4	name which doesn't expire for another year. There's a
5	DBS Treasures account at a Singapore Bank, and the
6	Government explicitly asked about foreign accounts as
7	well. That card doesn't expire until January of 2025,
8	again, in the defendant's name. And, finally, a China
9	Bank of Communications account, it's a Chinese bank.
10	That account, the card indicates it expires September of
11	2023, also in the defendant's name.
12	It's another example, Your Honor, of
13	indications to the Government that the defendant has
14	access to accounts, assets, funds that she could use in
15	order to flee. And if they are funds that we needed to
16	rely on the defendant to disclose to satisfy another
17	condition of the bond that was imposed. Separate and
18	apart from the question of co-signer, she was obligated
19	under the conditions imposed to disclose her assets, her
20	accounts, her cryptocurrency, her property to the
21	Government and to Pretrial Services.
22	She represented through counsel that she had
23	done that simply by disclosing two personal accounts,
24	one at Morgan Stanley Bank, one at TD Bank, and then
25	this account that was associated with one of the

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT	Document 57	Filed 04/26/23	Page 60 of 87	
1		PROC	CEEDING		60

 $\left( \right)$ 

2 companies. She did not disclose in the Government's 3 view by any stretch the corpus of money that she has 4 access to.

5 These are examples of new circumstances that gives the Government grave concerns. Grave concerns 6 7 about the defendant's incentives to flee, about her ability to flee, about the fact that we cannot trust 8 9 representations that the defendant is making. And, Your 10 Honor, in those situations where we have so many red 11 flags and so many concerns that the Government would not 12 necessarily have identified if we hadn't found this new 13 information. We simply do not have any assurances that 14 there are any conditions or set of conditions that will 15 assure the defendant's appearance at future court 16 appearances.

17 So that goes to the third prong, Your Honor. 18 It's the fact that the Government is now coming to the 19 Court saying we agreed on these proposed bail conditions 20 at the time of her arrest based on what we knew then. 21 The world has changed since then, and it has only gotten 22 more concerning for the Government which already had a 23 significant concern about the defendant's risk of flight 24 but believed that there may be certain conditions that 25 could assure her appearance. We no longer feel that

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 61 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 61
2	way. We do not believe there are conditions or a set of
3	conditions that can reasonably assure her appearance.
4	THE COURT: One clarification. In regards to -
5	you referred to, I think you referred to, I don't know
6	if you were referring to the allegations of the
7	indictment or something else, but you referred to Mr.
8	Kwok and Mr. Je as being the ones who were sort of
9	lining their pocket and getting rich. Are you in
10	agreement with defense counsel that the indictment
11	doesn't make allegations that the defendant here herself
12	was lining her pockets so to speak?
13	MS. MURRAY: I guess to answer Your Honor's
14	question, the indictment does make allegations that the
15	defendant herself was personally responsible for a
16	hundred million dollar misappropriation of fraud
17	proceeds
18	THE COURT: I understand.
19	MS. MURRAY: But that's to the point of
20	misappropriation. Now, with respect to the indictment
21	which is a charging document that contains some
22	allegations, we haven't specifically outlined personal
23	money that the defendant herself misappropriated, but,
24	again, we don't believe that that is in any way germane
25	to her risk of flight and her access to money here and

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 62 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 62
2	to a network.
3	And another point that I would like to note is
4	with respect to travel documents and passports. Mr.
5	Lipman said that the defendant had been seeking
6	permission to travel at the end of last year or
7	beginning of this year, and she was going to go I
8	believe to the U.K. Travel internationally.
9	The Government recovered a Vanuatu passport and
10	a Hong Kong passport from her safe. The Vanuatu
11	passport was expired, and we did see evidence which we
12	disclosed that that passport had been kind of not
13	revoked but that the defendant had removed her request
14	from the passport. But she has the ability to obtain
15	travel documents as does her co-defendant Miles Kwok who
16	allegedly has had 11 passports at various points.
17	THE COURT: Well, she's not Miles Kwok.
18	MS. MURRAY: I understand
19	THE COURT: I understand she could be part of a
20	network where things like that can be made available is
21	what you're suggesting I think.
22	MS. MURRAY: That's exactly right, Your Honor,
23	it's exactly right that she can both be part of the
24	network where things can be made available and she is
25	the one who is tasked with holding onto those travel

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 63 of 87

Case 1:23-cr-00118-A1 Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 63 of 87		
1	PROCEEDING 63	
2	documents both for herself and Mr. Kwok. She is a	
3	trusted person who is entrusted with the responsibility	
4	of having those travel documents	
5	THE COURT: What do you make of the defense's	
6	points that the defendant certainly would've been aware	
7	in September or October of 2022 about the seizure of	
8	phones and that something was afoot and then there was	
9	the dealings with the SEC, together with the fact that,	
10	again, as defense has represented, that she applied for	
11	a furlough to be able to travel despite her asylum aps.	
12	Aren't those, if true, sort of indicative of someone	
13	who's not going to run?	
14	MS. MURRAY: Not necessarily, Your Honor, and I	
15	would also note that while, you know, there may be a	
16	question of whether those are at odds, and I'm happy to	
17	address that in a moment, I would also note that the	
18	defendant's willingness and, in fact, desire to travel	

19 to the U.K. even though she has these serious concerns, the CCP's persecution of repatriation, indicates that 20 21 those concerns are not so grave that she's not willing to travel internationally. 22

23 But I don't know the circumstances of the 24 defendant's requested furlough. I don't know what the purpose was of her going on that trip. I will say that 25

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 64 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 64
2	there's no reason - if we're speaking in hypotheticals
3	in this instance, there's similarly no reason to believe
4	that she didn't request furlough to go to the United
5	Kingdom without any intention of returning after she was
6	aware the funds had been seized. And, again, I'm
7	speaking in hypotheticals only because we were asked a
8	question by the Court, but I think you can draw various
9	different conclusions from these facts. And at bottom,
10	her seeking to travel to the U.K., her willingness to
11	travel internationally, doesn't cut against the fact
12	that she poses a significant risk of flight.
13	And I'd also note, it's a risk of flight non-
14	appearance at future court appearances. We don't need
15	to establish that she's going to go to a foreign
16	jurisdiction
17	THE COURT: No.
18	MS. MURRAY: She could flee from the city, she
19	could flee from the several block radius. She could cut
20	her bracelet. And it could be that her vast network of
21	supporters enable and harbor her. We don't know the
22	circumstances, but the bottom fundamental point is the
23	defendant poses a significant risk of flight. The
24	Government sees no condition or set of conditions in
25	light of the strength of the evidence, the seriousness

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT	Document 57	Filed 04/26/23	Page 65 of 87
	Doounnon on		1 490 00 01 01

1	PROCEEDING 65
2	of the charges here, the defendant's personal
3	circumstances, her access to substantial assets, foreign
4	connections including her co-defendant William Je who is
5	alleged to be in the UAE as a fugitive of where he has
6	charges, her network of supporters, and the new
7	information that we have found in the last two weeks,
8	indicating that the defendant has not been forthcoming
9	with the Court, Pretrial, or the Government. We simply
10	don't believe there are any conditions that can ensure
11	her appearance at future court proceedings.
12	THE COURT: All right. I assume you want to
13	respond some.
14	MR. LIPMAN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
15	THE COURT: Just let me say to my 3:30, sorry,
16	that we're going to be running late. Just sit tight,
17	and we'll eventually get there. Go ahead.
18	MR. LIPMAN: I'll do this as quickly as I can,
19	Your Honor. So I want to start with the following.
20	Everything I said about what they misrepresented in
21	their conversations with the Court and submissions
22	apparently is true because none of it did they take
23	issue with. So all of that stuff about finding, you
24	know, a phone between mattresses, phones secreted in
25	whatever it that they were, a document hiding in between

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 66 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 66
2	the cushions, none of that apparently happened. It is,
3	it was represented to the Court.
4	So now we get to the point of trust. They said
5	trust. You can't trust this defendant. Really? But
6	you can trust this Government? Let's just see, let's
7	just parse through what Ms. Murray just said. She said
8	that she found photographs of cards, some of those
9	showed that the card is not yet expired. How do we go
10	from there to, oh, and there's an account that goes with
11	it? What evidence does she have? None. None
12	whatsoever.
13	What she knows - by the way, Your Honor, I have
14	never, the words Great Britain never left my mouth.
15	Okay? That means that they knew that she was about to
16	travel. Why didn't they arrest her? If they thought
17	that she was going to get out of Dodge and they were
18	concerned that she was a flight risk, well, when they
19	found out that she applied, well, arrest her. What,
20	they didn't have a border watching her? Really?
21	Because the Department of Justice has changed that much
22	since I was there? I don't think so.
23	So now let's get to her employment. Once
24	again, what was the question that was asked? Are you
25	currently employed? No. No. If the question were

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 67 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 67
2	asked are you still a member of a revolutionary movement
3	that does whatever it is that they try to do to get rid
4	of the communist party of China, the answer to that is
5	yes.
6	THE COURT: Well, wasn't she working for one or
7	more of the companies?
8	MR. LIPMAN: She was working for the family
9	office.
10	THE COURT: Yeah.
11	MR. LIPMAN: There's no dispute that she had
12	input into various things that happened. I'm not taking
13	issue with what they say that she interviewed people for
14	whatever it is and this and that. The Government knows,
15	yeah, the Government knows that she was the 99.999
16	percent owner of this entity that owns these three other
17	companies. None of that is a secret. Okay?
18	THE COURT: But was she
19	MR. LIPMAN: But was she
20	THE COURT: Was she employed?
21	MR. LIPMAN: No, she got, she was not getting,
22	drawing a salary anymore. She was not employed. She
23	worked, she continued to do certain kind of work, but
24	she did not get paid. She was volunteering. And the
25	reason she's volunteering, Your Honor, this goes back to

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 68 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 68
2	what we talked about before. The reason she is
3	volunteering is because this is a political movement
4	that she
5	THE COURT: What was she doing for a source of
6	funds then?
7	MR. LIPMAN: Well, she's still, she has
8	THE COURT: I understand she has accounts.
9	MR. LIPMAN: And, by the way, Your Honor, the
10	house that she bought, her apartment, she bought before
11	any of these fraud allegations
12	THE COURT: Yeah, I understand.
13	MR. LIPMAN: And, Your Honor, look, I'm sorry,
14	but the few things that the Government says, they say
15	change in circumstances. What's the change in
16	circumstances again? That she's volunteering whereas
17	she used to - of course. So what? So what? The day
18	before her arrest, did she know she was about to get
19	arrested? Because if she did know that she was about to
20	get arrested and she didn't get out of Dodge, then she's
21	not a flight risk. So she was going about her normal
22	life. What is so, what's the new - what is new about
23	that? Absolutely nothing.
24	Now, and then what is they say - she lied to
25	disclaim that she had nothing to do with any of these

### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 69 of 87

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 69 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 69
2	companies? When? To whom? And I had a specific
3	conversation with the Government when they say, well,
4	Ms. Murray says, she says that, broadly speaking, the
5	question could be that broadly construed or narrowly
6	construed. Well, first of all, nobody's taken my
7	client's Fifth Amendment (indiscernible), not that I
8	have heard, and when she was asked the question, she
9	gave an answer, the answer was truthful. If they wanted
10	to know more, they should've asked. And I specifically
11	had a conversation with the Government, and I said
12	excluding anything that she may have control over by
13	virtue of corporate ownership or whatever, these are the
14	accounts.
15	She's not a flight risk, Your Honor. There's a
16	question that I keep asking myself is this. Why? Why
17	is the Government misrepresenting evidence? Why is the
18	Government stretching stuff, stretching stuff? Even if
19	they believe that, you know, there's more to this. Even
20	- I'm sorry, I'm sorry, I'm reminded that on her
21	employment question, we actually invoked, she invoked
22	her Fifth Amendment right. Okay? Thank you.
23	Even - I lost my train of thought. I

24 apologize. I think I was responding to this idea that 25 she controls stuff. There's no - we never hid that.

	Case 1.25-CI-00110-AT Document 57 Thed 04/20/25 Page 70 01 07
1	PROCEEDING 70
2	The only question is is she a flight risk? What is it
3	about her that makes you think that she's not going to
4	show up? She will show up, Your Honor. She's got
5	nowhere to go. Nowhere. And the Government keeps -
6	this is where I was, thank you.
7	Why are they stretching it? Why? What is the
8	reason? I mean, really, does she look dangerous? What
9	is it
10	THE COURT: They're not moving on
11	dangerousness.
12	MR. LIPMAN: I'm sorry, no
13	THE COURT: They're not moving on danger.
14	MR. LIPMAN: There is a reason why they're
15	doing it. They want her to cook. They want her to get
16	a flavor of the MDC because she was the chief of staff,
17	Your Honor, and that is not okay. That is immoral. And
18	when the Government obtains that result by, among other
19	things, misrepresenting, saying that she's a flight risk
20	on the basis of things that they cannot support, that
21	contradict the evidence that's collected, that is - is
22	anybody other than me think that it's a little bit
23	peculiar or ironic that the Government is alleging that
24	she violated certain antifraud provisions that make it
25	unlawful to make a statement that in light of all

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 71 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 71
2	circumstances is materially misleading and yet this is
3	what the Government is doing? Why?
4	Your Honor, this woman needs to be released.
5	She's not a flight risk. She's not going anywhere.
6	She's going to have an ankle bracelet, she'll have GPS
7	monitoring. We can have all of her money tied up so
8	that she can't breathe without Pretrial or somebody
9	giving her approval.
10	And one last thing, if she's not released, her
11	defense is going to be severely prejudiced.
12	THE COURT: It's true for anybody who doesn't
13	get released.
14	MR. LIPMAN: Except, except when that person
15	also has Mandarin as her first language, when the
16	Government asks for a disk to put 2 terabytes of data on
17	it. This is not a case that's going to be resolved
18	quickly, and it is a case in which it's going to be very
19	important to have your client's assistance.
20	THE COURT: Okay, thank you. Ms. Murray, do
21	you want to have the last word here?
22	MS. MURRAY: Yes, Your Honor, briefly. I want
23	to start by saying there is nothing that the Government
24	has misrepresented to the Court. The Government has not
25	reached on facts. The Government has provided evidence

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT	Document 57	Filed 04/26/23	Page 72 of 87
	Doounnon on		

1	PROCEEDING 72
2	substantiated information that it has presented to this
3	Court and to the defense. With respect to the credit
4	cards Mr. Lipman mentioned, it's not a photo of the
5	cards. It's a photo of the cards that we have before
6	the Court and the defense today. They were the physical
7	cards. But we resent the claim that we are in any way
8	acting other than
9	THE COURT: I know
10	MS. MURRAY:fully forthcoming and in good
11	faith.
12	THE COURT: I have no doubt you're operating
13	in good faith. But he did point out some things that
14	were discrepancies it seemed between what was
15	represented in terms of where certain pieces of evidence
16	were found in her apartment versus what was inventoried
17	and how it was inventoried. Can you speak to that?
18	MS. MURRAY: Sure, Your Honor. There aren't
19	discrepancies. What Mr. Lipman has done is he's pointed
20	to an evidence log that has a column where there are
21	certain notations made when the FBI is collecting
22	evidence that indicates where the item was recovered.
23	Typically, it indicates the room by letter based on the
24	map that Mr. Lipman provided to the Court and a brief
25	description. It does not indicate in a detailed

	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 73 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 73
2	narrative where each and every item that is taken as
3	evidence was recovered from, what condition it was in,
4	how it was found.
5	So with respect the laptop between clothes,
6	that is consistent with the Government's representations
7	to Judge Parker at the initial presentment that the
8	laptop was found between sweaters in the closet. It
9	doesn't say specifically what items of clothing
10	THE COURT: No.
11	MS. MURRAY: or where, but it's consistent.
12	With respect to the iPhones that the Government had
13	indicated had been in boxes, yes, in a bag in the
14	closet, and you can see those are the items that Mr.
15	Lipman pointed Your Honor to in the 50's on the evidence
16	log. And you'll note that nearly each of them has the
17	same PIN code or passcode. So those are items that at
18	first the FBI thought might not have any content, and
19	then the FBI had technicians on site during the search
20	warrant, they plugged them in, and they determined they
21	had content. There are no misrepresentations.
22	Mr. Lipman is now, again, Your Honor,
23	essentially trying to hold a trial on the merits of the
24	Government's case here at a point of a detention hearing
25	by, first of all, requesting information from the

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 74 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 74
2	Government which we happily provided and would have so
3	provided in the course of discovery in this case as
4	well, and then trying to hold it against the Government
5	by claiming that because there isn't a photo of each
6	stage of every step of the process that evidence was
7	collected, then the Government can't be trusted. It is
8	simply not true, and it's disrespectful, Your Honor.
9	With respect to a couple of other points, I
10	would just like to note the defendant lied. She lied
11	about the cash in her apartment. I have now heard the
12	defense during the course of this argument split hairs
13	on several topics, and that is another example of what
14	gives the Government pause.
15	THE COURT: Well, how do we know - it is
16	important what was asked. Do you have any money on you?
17	Do you have any money in your apartment? There's a
18	difference.
19	MS. MURRAY: I understand, Your Honor, and the
20	Government obviously is not privy
21	THE COURT: And particularly for someone of a
22	different language and culture, it might be all the more
23	important that there's nuance to what's asked. I don't
24	know what was asked.
25	MS. MURRAY: Sure, and nor do we because the

### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 75 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 75
2	Government is not part of Pretrial Services interview
3	with the defendant. She was assisted by a Mandarin
4	speaking interpreter during that interview. The
5	Government is also aware from its investigation that Ms.
6	Wang is quite fluent in English. We know that from
7	various different pieces of evidence we've collected,
8	including statements that she's made and her voice
9	during conversations. She doesn't appear to have an
10	issue understanding.
11	But with respect to the questions that were
12	asked, again, I don't know, I was not there. The
13	defendant is very much so splitting hairs on several
14	topics. I will note that the Pretrial Services report
15	indicates that the defendant was asked about assets,
16	assets, not specific accounts that she is the sole
17	signatory on, not specific accounts that are active that
18	she has control over and log-in information to. We're
19	not splitting hairs. Pretrial Services asked about
20	assets, and she did not disclose \$138,000 worth of cash
21	that was sitting in a safe in her apartment.
22	With respect to her employment, the defense
23	just indicated that she had invoked - the Pretrial
24	Services report with respect to employment history
25	indicated that the defendant advised abe had been

25 indicates that the defendant advised she has been

#### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 76 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 76
2	unemployed since September 2022. Now, with respect to
3	the source of additional money that she has since then
4	or that she is living on, the defendant declined to
5	answer, and that is her right. But she did provide this
6	statement in response to Pretrial Services report, she's
7	been unemployed since September of 2022.

8 Now, Mr. Lipman says that the defendant has been volunteering in various organizations that she 9 10 previously might have worked in a more formal employment 11 capacity. I just want to go back briefly to the 12 personal gain point that Your Honor has asked about. 13 Yes, I understand \$1.1 million might not be an expensive 14 apartment in Manhattan, but it's a \$1.1 million 15 apartment purchased in cash. The defendant has nearly 16 another million dollars in her accounts. The defendant 17 was up until her purported decision to terminate her 18 employment and start volunteering was earning a salary 19 of approximately \$250,000 from the Kwok entities that she worked for formally, in a formal capacity. 20 That is 21 personal gain in the Government's view.

22 It is also inconsistent with now the claims 23 that September 2022, right when the Government started 24 seizing funds, the defendant stopped working in a formal 25 capacity. She can't be held responsible for any of

1	PROCEEDING 77
2	these bank accounts that she's signing off on payroll
3	for, that she has access to the funds for.
4	Your Honor, at bottom the defendant is a risk
5	of flight. There are no conditions that can reasonably
6	assure her appearance. She has lied. The Government
7	has not misrepresented itself to the Court. And we have
8	no comfort that we can believe that she will make
9	accurate representations to the Court, that we will have
10	the ability to monitor her in any meaningful way that
11	would assure her appearance at future court proceedings.
12	THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Lipman, I see you,
13	do you want to respond? Go ahead.
14	MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, answering the question
15	that's posed truthfully is a complete answer. It's not
16	splitting hairs
17	THE COURT: Look, the bottom line is we don't
18	know really what was asked and how it was asked
19	MR. LIPMAN: Well, we were there.
20	THE COURT: Fine, but I'm saying we don't have
21	a record
22	MR. LIPMAN: But, Your Honor, there is no
23	record, and there is no proof of these things that the
24	Government says
25	THE COURT: I

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 78 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 78
2	MR. LIPMAN: which is what
3	(interposing)
4	THE COURT: I didn't say which way it cuts.
5	MR. LIPMAN: No, but, Your Honor, they said we
6	found a credit card. Well, that means she didn't
7	disclose an account. No, you found a credit card.
8	Okay? We found a statement that said that whatever,
9	that she was allocated some coin. Yes, that's what you
10	found, that's what you have. You don't have anything
11	else. So to tell me that she needs to be detained and
12	she cannot be trusted because they found something that
13	they don't fully understand, I'm sorry, but that's a
14	bridge too far.
15	And, yeah, a bunch of her accounts, by the way,
16	as the Government knows, were closed, and the
17	Government's investigation kind of followed that. So
18	like the Citi accounts, for example, were closed. Other
19	accounts at other banks were closed recently, they were
20	closed. And the other thing, Your Honor, when they say
21	she controls this or she controls that or whatever,
22	okay, she worked somewhere, she no longer works there,
23	she doesn't draw a salary. What she does with her time
24	is her business. It's not cutting - it's not lying to
25	anybody, it's not any of that. Okay?

### Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 79 of 87

1

25

Lase 1.23-01-00110-A1	Document 57	Fileu 04/20/23	Faye 19 01 01	
	PRO	CEEDING		79

2 And the Government essentially conceded - no, The Government conceded the key point 3 not essentially. that this was not, her participating, according to their 4 indictment, was not for the benefit for her personal 5 monetary gain. It was for some other reason. And the 6 7 apartment was bought before any of the allegations with other money. She did make money, but she didn't spend 8 9 it. I already described to the Court how she lived. 10 And so the key question is why does she do this and, if 11 she did it, did she do it to benefit herself, and if 12 not, then is that sufficient reason to think that she's 13 now going to hurt these people because she did not put 14 any money that came out of their pockets and put it into 15 There's no reason to believe that having not done hers. 16 that, being around all this money and not putting any of 17 it in your pocket. For all of these years she didn't do 18 that. 19 So what is going to make her do it now? And 20 the answer is that this is a revolutionary movement, 21 okay, these people are her brothers and sisters. Thev

22 together want to see the CCP overthrown. And so she's 23 not going to put them in financial jeopardy that she 24 dedicated her life, her life to this cause.

THE COURT: All right.

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 80 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 80
2	MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, just a final point. I
3	want to be clear the Government made no concession on
4	that point in any stretch, and a key question is whether
5	she poses a risk of flight, that is the question.
6	THE COURT: All right, look, one thing that
7	I've been asked to do is to determine if the, or at
8	least order that some of the financial suretors that
9	have been offered are sufficient to meet the
10	requirements and conditions that were issued by Judge
11	Parker, and the defense has indicated here they have
12	three for which they believe that there's sufficient
13	property that can be offered as security along with the
14	enhanced package, if you will, of funds that were
15	offered on behalf of the defendant.
16	I don't have it in front of me information
17	about those three FRP's in terms of the property that's
18	being offered. That is part of what I need to consider.
19	I realize I am also being asked by the Government for
20	detention anew in light of new material. But it's
21	incumbent upon me to review whatever material the
22	defendant is going to provide to substantiate it's
23	offered financial suretors.
24	So I want a package of whatever it is that you
25	must or that you think is enough. If there is

# Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 81 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 81
2	documentation you haven't provided the Government
3	already on others that you can provide, including the
4	so-called eighth or others, provide it. And part of
5	what I'm going to do is assess that material. It
6	doesn't mean I'm necessarily going to find obviously
7	that that is sufficient and that the conditions have
8	been met, but it is one of the things I am going to
9	consider in addition to considering whether a different
10	set of conditions should be imposed or whether the
11	defendant should be detained.
12	So she's going to continue to be detained
13	pursuant to Judge Parker's order of all conditions being
14	satisfied before she's released pending the submission
15	of this additional information and my review of it which
16	I will try to do as quickly as possible.
17	Let me ask Mr. Lipman, when can you get that
18	material to me and the Government?
19	MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, I will start working
20	on it as soon as I leave this courtroom. I would ask
21	for 24 hours.
22	THE COURT: Well, sure.
23	MR. LIPMAN: Oh yes, yes. Yes. That's a good
24	point. Your Honor has a lot of personal information,
25	rather than redacting it and filing it in various ways -

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 82 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 82
2	_
3	THE COURT: You can file it under seal.
4	MR. LIPMAN: Okay. All right.
5	THE COURT: And you'll provide it to the
6	Government obviously in unredacted form.
7	MR. LIPMAN: Of course. You know what, Your
8	Honor, I said 24 hours
9	THE COURT: Give yourself more time.
10	MR. LIPMAN: Yeah.
11	THE COURT: It's your call sort of because your
12	client is going to remain detained. So you obviously
13	MR. LIPMAN: I understand. But how about this,
14	we will provide it no later than 48 hours from now, but
15	we will attempt to provide it as soon as humanly
16	possible.
17	THE COURT: Okay. All right, I mean it's
18	important I think also if you need a little more time,
19	to be able to put together something stronger that might
20	assure the Government. Grant it that they're saying
21	there are changed conditions and they want detention.
22	But anything you can do to make stronger the financial
23	suretor application would be helpful to me in being able
24	to review and its significance. Okay?
25	MR. LIPMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 83 of 87 1 PROCEEDING 83 2 THE COURT: All right. Anything else from the 3 Government? MS. MURRAY: No, Your Honor. Thank you. 4 THE COURT: Anything else from the defense? 5 MR. LIPMAN: No, Your Honor, thank you. 6 7 THE COURT: All right, we're adjourned. Thank 8 you all. 9 MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, sorry. 10 THE COURT: Oh, one administrative thing 11 actually. I just want to note for the record that the 12 defense handed up exhibits marked 1, 45, 46, and 26, and 13 finally 27. 14 MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, just briefly before we 15 adjourned. To the extent the defense is going to submit 16 something to the Government and to the Court, we would 17 ask for a response date. 18 THE COURT: Fair. 19 MS. MURRAY: We can figure out the timing once 20 the defense has actually submitted the materials, and we 21 can coordinate with Your Honor on that if that makes 22 sense. 23 THE COURT: All right. Should we set a defined 24 time now? I think it would be appropriate. 25 MR. LIPMAN: Yes, please.

	Lase 1.23-CI-00118-AT DUCUMENT 57 Fileu 04/20/23 Paye 84 01 87
1	PROCEEDING 84
2	THE COURT: So I would - I don't know about the
3	weekend. So you're going to get to me and the
4	Government before the weekend it sounds like.
5	MR. LIPMAN: Yes, I will get it to you as soon
6	as humanly possible.
7	THE COURT: All right, well, I'm going to give
8	the Government, I was going to say five days
9	MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor.
10	THE COURT: Too much?
11	MR. LIPMAN: Five days at the MDC.
12	THE COURT: Yeah, and the Government has
13	partial information on some of these already. I'll give
14	the Government three days. If for any reason something
15	turns out that is particularly complex that requires
16	more, let me know, but I'm giving the Government three
17	days
18	MR. LIPMAN: Your Honor, may I just for a
19	second, and I hear that, you know, I don't know why they
20	need three days. I apologize
21	THE COURT: I don't know what's going to be in
22	the package. Three days.
23	MR. LIPMAN: Okay. What I was going to say,
24	Your Honor, is this, what I would like to get to the
25	Court is evidence of real estate that is available. It

Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT	Document 57	Filed 04/26/23	Page 85 of 87

1	PROCEEDING 85
2	is our position that if there's sufficient proof that
3	the person proposing to cosign actually owns this real
4	estate and the real estate has the value that they say
5	it does, that's really all that the Government needs.
6	In other words, right, because whether they make money
7	or not
8	THE COURT: I don't know what the Government
9	needs, but you need to assure the Court
10	MR. LIPMAN: I'm sorry?
11	THE COURT: You need to assure the Court at the
12	very least. I don't know exactly what that is you will
13	give to me. Certainly, it'll be important to know who
14	is the owner, whether there are any other ownership
15	interests, what are the liens, what are the mortgages,
16	etc. So I think you have an idea.
17	It's not going to necessarily take away from
18	whether someone is an alleged victim or has one of the
19	other faults, but at least I want a more complete
20	picture, and it's part of my obligation to make that
21	assessment. And I don't want to make a sweeping
22	statement at the moment that just because anyone is an
23	alleged victim and is not a family tie in some way, that
24	necessarily makes them inadequate. But that's why I
25	need to see it individually.

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 86 of 87
1	PROCEEDING 86
2	MR. LIPMAN: Okay.
3	MS. MURRAY: Your Honor, with respect to the
4	response date, assuming that the defense submits
5	something on Thursday, that would make the Government's
6	response due on Easter Sunday. We would respectfully
7	ask
8	THE COURT: Monday.
9	MS. MURRAY: that we get until Monday.
10	Thank you.
11	THE COURT: Yes, of course. Okay, all right,
12	we are adjourned. Thank you.
13	MS. MURRAY: Thank you.
14	MR. LIPMAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
15	(Whereupon the matter is adjourned.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

(	Case 1:23-cr-00118-AT Document 57 Filed 04/26/23 Page 87 of 87
1	87
2	<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>
3	
4	I, Carole Ludwig, certify that the foregoing
5	transcript of proceedings in the United States District
6	Court, Southern District of New York, United States of
7	America versus Wang, Docket #23cr118/23m2007, was
8	prepared using PC-based transcription software and is a
9	true and accurate record of the proceedings.
10	
11	
12	SignatureCarols Ludwig
13	Carole Ludwig
14	Date: April 5, 2023
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	