UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

S2 23 Cr. 118 (AT)

HO WAN KOWK MILES GUO,

a/k/a "Miles Guo,"
a/k/a "Miles Kwok,"
a/k/a "Guo Wengui,"
a/k/a "Brother Seven,"
a/k/a "The Principal,"
a/k/a "Boss," and

YANPING WANG, a/k/a "Yvette," a/k/a "Y,"

Defendants.

Please indicate each of your verdicts with a check mark ().

Count One: Racketeering Conspiracy

1.	With respect to Count One, Rackete defendant HO WAN KWOK :	ering Conspiracy, we the jury unanimously find th		
	Not Guilty	Guilty		
2.	With respect to Count One, Racketeering Conspiracy, we the jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING WANG :			
	Not Guilty	Guilty		

Count Two: Conspiracy to Commit Wire Fraud or Bank Fraud

3.	With respect to Coun KWOK :	nt Two, we the jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN		
	Not Guilty	Guilty		
4.	With respect to Coun WANG:	at Two, we the jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING		
	Not Guilty	Guilty		
<u>Coun</u>	t Three: Conspiracy	to Commit Money Laundering		
5.	With respect to Coun KWOK :	nt Three, we the jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN		
	Not Guilty	Guilty		
6.	6. With respect to Count Three, we the jury unanimously find the deg WANG :			
	Not Guilty	Guilty		
<u>Coun</u>	t Four: Conspiracy t Financial Ins	o Commit Securities Fraud or Make False Statements to a titution		
7.	With respect to Coun KWOK:	nt Four, we the jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN		
	Not Guilty	Guilty		
8.	With respect to Coun WANG:	nt Four, we the jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING		
	Not Guilty	Guilty		

Count Five: Wire Fraud—GTV Private Placement

9. With respect to Count Five, we the jury unanimously KWOK :			he jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN
		Not Guilty	Guilty
	10	. With respect to Count Five, we the WANG:	he jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING
		Not Guilty	Guilty
C	ount	Six: Securities Fraud—GTV P	rivate Placement
	11	. With respect to Count Six, we the	jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN KWOK
		Not Guilty	Guilty
	12	. With respect to Count Six, we the WANG :	e jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING
		Not Guilty	Guilty
C	<u>ount</u>	Seven: Wire Fraud—Farm Lo	an Program
	13	. With respect to Count Seven, we KWOK :	the jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN
		Not Guilty	Guilty
	14	. With respect to Count Seven, we WANG :	the jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING
		Not Guilty	Guilty

Count Eight: Securities Fraud—Farm Loan Program

. With respect to Count Eight, we the jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN KWOK :		
Not Guilty	Guilty	
b. With respect to Count Eight, WANG :	we the jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING	
Not Guilty	Guilty	
t Nine: Wire Fraud—G CLU	U <u>BS</u>	
. With respect to Count Nine, with KWOK:	we the jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN	
Not Guilty	Guilty	
3. With respect to Count Nine, was WANG:	we the jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING	
Not Guilty	Guilty	
t Ten: Securities Fraud—G 0	<u>CLUBS</u>	
). With respect to Count Ten, w KWOK :	ve the jury unanimously find the defendant HO WAN	
Not Guilty	Guilty	
). With respect to Count Ten, w WANG :	ve the jury unanimously find the defendant YANPING	
Not Guilty	Guilty	
	Not Guilty Not Guilty Not Guilty Not Guilty t Nine: Wire Fraud—G CLU With respect to Count Nine, KWOK: Not Guilty Not Guilty Not Guilty t Ten: Securities Fraud—G C With respect to Count Ten, w KWOK: Not Guilty With respect to Count Ten, w KWOK: Not Guilty	

Count Eleven: Wire Fraud—The Himalaya Exchange

	ith respect to Co WOK :	ount Eleven, we t	he jury unanim	ously find the d	efendant HO WAN
No	ot Guilty		Guilty		
Count Ty	welve: Unlawfu	ıl Monetary Tra	ansaction _		
	ith respect to Co W OK :	ount Twelve, we t	the jury unanim	nously find the d	efendant HO WAN
No	ot Guilty		Guilty		
	ith respect to Co A NG :	ount Twelve, we t	the jury unanin	nously find the d	efendant YANPING
No	ot Guilty		Guilty		
	k, New York	, 2024			
					Foreperson